

Basics: Gaussian Functions



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$



sensor feedback is subject to noise and imprecision

suppose that the ball is stationary at some point along the x_B axis and that stereo observations of coordinate x are drawn from the probability distribution illustrated.



given n independent measurements,

$$\{z_i: i=1,\ldots,n\},\$$

each subject to additive zero-mean Gaussian noise

$$v_i \sim N(0, r_i),$$

- the optimal estimate, \hat{x} , is constructed as a weighted sum of individual (noisey) measurements $\hat{x} = k_1 z_1 + k_2 z_2$
- weights are chosen to minimize the expected squared error (variance) of the estimate.



two independent measurements:

$$z_1 = x + v_1$$
 $z_2 = x + v_2$

$$\hat{x} = k_1 z_1 + k_2 z_2.$$

we require that the estimator is **unbiased** $\iff k_1$ and k_2 are independent of x and the expected value of the estimation error is zero,

$$E[\tilde{x}] = E[\hat{x} - x] = 0$$

therefore E[

$$(k_1(x+v_1)+k_2(x+v_2))-x]=0$$

and since E[x] = x and $E[v_i] = 0$, this relation requires that

$$k_2 = 1 - k_1.$$



the optimal filter gain (k_1) yields **minimum squared error**¹

$$E[\tilde{x}^2] = k_1^2 r_1 + (1 - k_1)^2 r_2$$

where r_i is the observation variance for measurement *i*. we find the value for k_1 that minimizes variance:

$$\frac{dE[\tilde{x}^2]}{dk_1} = 2k_1r_1 - 2(1-k_1)r_2 = 0$$

$$k_1 = \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2}$$

¹which is equivalent to minimizing the estimate variance.



Now, the optimal estimate is:

$$\hat{x} = \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} z_1 + \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} z_2$$
$$= \frac{\frac{1}{r_1}}{\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}} z_1 + \frac{\frac{1}{r_2}}{\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}} z_2,$$

and the expected squared estimation error (the estimate variance) is:

$$E[\tilde{x}^2] = s = \left[\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}\right]^{-1}$$

which is generalized to k observations in a straightforward manner.



for k observations, the optimal (least squares) estimate and the estimate variance becomes:

Finally, we can state the filter in the **recursive form:**

$$\hat{x}_{k} = \frac{\frac{1}{s_{k-1}}}{\frac{1}{s_{k-1}} + \frac{1}{r_{k}}} \hat{x}_{k-1} + \frac{\frac{1}{r_{k}}}{\frac{1}{s_{k-1}} + \frac{1}{r_{k}}} z_{k}$$
$$s_{k} = \left[\frac{1}{s_{k-1}} + \frac{1}{r_{k}}\right]^{-1}$$



Recursive Optimal Estimation - Tracking Moving Objects $\in \mathbb{R}^n$

The optimal combination of measurements, $\{z_i : i = 1, k\}$, with associated covariance \mathbf{R}_i is determined by weighting observations

$$\hat{oldsymbol{x}}_k = \mathbf{S}_k \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} oldsymbol{z}_i$$

where the covariance of the estimate is computed from

$$\mathbf{S}_k = \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{R}_i^{-1}\right]^{-1}$$

The recursive form of the multi-dimensional estimator becomes:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k+1} = \mathbf{S}_{k}^{-1} \left[\mathbf{S}_{k}^{-1} + \mathbf{R}_{k}^{-1} \right]^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k} + \mathbf{R}_{k}^{-1} \left[\mathbf{S}_{k}^{-1} + \mathbf{R}_{k}^{-1} \right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{z}_{k+1}$$



Recursive Optimal Estimation - Tracking Moving Objects $\in \mathbb{R}^n$



$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x} \ \hat{y} \ \hat{x} \ \hat{y} \end{bmatrix}_{k}^{T} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{k} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1}^{+} + \boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} \qquad \boldsymbol{w}_{k} \sim N(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{Q}_{k})$$

 $\boldsymbol{z}_k = \mathbf{H}_k \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_k + \boldsymbol{v}_k \qquad \boldsymbol{v}_k \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_k)$

Copyright ©2015 Roderic Grupen



$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_k = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{k-1}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}$$
 , or

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k} = \mathbf{A}_{k-1}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1} + \mathbf{B}_{k-1}\boldsymbol{u}_{k-1} + \boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}$ $\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} \sim N(0, \mathbf{Q}_{k-1})$

the "sensor" model

$$oldsymbol{z}_k = \mathbf{H}_k \hat{oldsymbol{x}}_k + oldsymbol{v}_k$$

 $oldsymbol{v}_k \sim N(0, \mathbf{R}_k)$

The Kalman filter is implemented in two stages:

state prediction:

$$\hat{oldsymbol{x}}_k^- = \mathbf{A}_{k-1}\hat{oldsymbol{x}}_{k-1}^+ + \mathbf{B}_{k-1}oldsymbol{u}_{k-1}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_k^- = \mathbf{A}_{k-1}\mathbf{P}_{k-1}^+\mathbf{A}_{k-1}^T + \mathbf{Q}_{k-1}$$

sensor prediction:

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{K}_k &= \mathbf{P}_k^- \mathbf{H}_k^T \left[\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{P}_k^- \mathbf{H}_k^T + \mathbf{R}_k
ight]^{-1} \ \hat{oldsymbol{x}}_k^+ &= \hat{oldsymbol{x}}_k^- + \mathbf{K}_k \left[oldsymbol{z}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \hat{oldsymbol{x}}_k^-
ight] \ \mathbf{P}_k^+ &= \left[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k
ight] \mathbf{P}_k^- \end{aligned}$$



The Kalman Filter



prior

forward state prediction $egin{aligned} \hat{m{x}}_k^- &= \mathbf{\Phi} \hat{m{x}}_{k-1}^+ \ \mathbf{P}_k^- &= \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{P}_{k-1}^+ \mathbf{\Phi}^T + \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} \end{aligned}$

observation $\boldsymbol{z}_k, \ \mathbf{R}_k$

$$\mathbf{K}_{k} = \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} \left[\mathbf{H}_{k} \mathbf{P}_{k}^{-} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} + \mathbf{R}_{k} \right]^{-1}$$

$$egin{aligned} & extsf{posterior} \ & \hat{m{x}}_k^+ = \hat{m{x}}_k^- + \mathbf{K}_k \left[m{z}_k - \mathbf{H}_k \hat{m{x}}_k^-
ight] \ & \mathbf{P}_k^+ = \left[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k
ight] \mathbf{P}_k^- \end{aligned}$$





The "Process" Model

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \\ \dot{\hat{x}} \\ \dot{\hat{y}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{l} x_{k}^{-} = x_{k-1}^{+} + \dot{x}_{k-1}^{+} \Delta t + (1/2)(u_{x})_{k-1} \Delta t^{2} \\ y_{k}^{-} = y_{k-1}^{+} + \dot{y}_{k-1}^{+} \Delta t + (1/2)(u_{y})_{k-1} \Delta t^{2} \\ \dot{x}_{k}^{-} = \dot{x}_{k-1}^{+} + (u_{x})_{k-1} \Delta t \\ \dot{y}_{k}^{-} = \dot{y}_{k-1}^{+} + (u_{y})_{k-1} \Delta t \end{array}$



The "Process" Model

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \\ \dot{\hat{x}} \\ \dot{\hat{y}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{l} x_{k}^{-} &= x_{k-1}^{+} + \dot{x}_{k-1}^{+} \Delta t + (1/2)(u_{x})_{k-1} \Delta t^{2} \\ y_{k}^{-} &= y_{k-1}^{+} + \dot{y}_{k-1}^{+} \Delta t + (1/2)(u_{y})_{k-1} \Delta t^{2} \\ \dot{x}_{k}^{-} &= \dot{x}_{k-1}^{+} + (u_{x})_{k-1} \Delta t \\ \dot{y}_{k}^{-} &= \dot{y}_{k-1}^{+} + (u_{y})_{k-1} \Delta t \\ \dot{y}_{k}^{-} &= \dot{y}_{k-1}^{+} + (u_{y})_{k-1} \Delta t \end{array}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}^{-} = \mathbf{A} \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1}^{+} + \mathbf{B} \qquad \boldsymbol{u}_{k-1} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \\ \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \end{bmatrix}_{k}^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \Delta t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \\ \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \end{bmatrix}_{k-1}^{+} + \begin{bmatrix} \Delta t^{2}/2 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta t^{2}/2 \\ \Delta t & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{x} \\ u_{y} \end{bmatrix}_{k-1}$$

consider the process with no control inputs (i.e. $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0}$)



The "Process" Model

the process model is subject to noise $\boldsymbol{w} \sim N(0, \mathbf{Q}_k)$

how is covariance matrix \mathbf{Q}_k estimated?

consider isotropic acceleration disturbances \boldsymbol{u}_{dist}

$$\mathbf{Q}_{k} \approx \sigma_{proc}^{2} \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^{T} = \sigma_{proc}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Delta t^{4}}{4} & 0 & \frac{\Delta t^{3}}{2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\Delta t^{4}}{4} & 0 & \frac{\Delta t^{3}}{2}\\ \frac{\Delta t^{3}}{2} & 0 & \Delta t^{2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\Delta t^{3}}{2} & 0 & \Delta t^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

which is constant for a fixed sample rate



The "Sensor" Model

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_{k} = \boldsymbol{H}_{k} \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}^{-} \qquad \boldsymbol{v}_{k} \sim N(0, \boldsymbol{R}_{k})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{z}_{x} \\ \boldsymbol{z}_{y} \end{bmatrix}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x} \\ \boldsymbol{y} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{y}} \end{bmatrix}_{k}^{-} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{x}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{y}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or, maybe } \boldsymbol{J}\boldsymbol{J}^{T}?$$





